| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------|---------------|
| | 09/841,732 | GUNTER ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Ronald Baum | 2136 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Ronald Baum</u> . | (3) | • |
| (2) Alison Weisberg. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>31 October 2005</u> | Time: <u>9:00am</u> | |
| Type of Interview: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: n/a | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | • |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Contacted Ms. Weisberg that a response to the final office action was overdue. She informed me that no response is pending. The case had gone abandoned. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| Augh Louy | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |